Formal Proof for Termination of Programs Using Ordinal Numbers

Andrés Felipe Tamayo-Arango and Andrés Sicard-Ramírez[†] aftamayo@eafit.edu.co, asr@eafit.edu.co

Mathematical Engineering, Universidad EAFIT Mathematical Science Department, School of Sciences, Universidad EAFIT 1. Problem definition

2. State of Art

3. Progress and challenges

Problem definition

- Formal proofs
- Formal verification
- Correctness
- Termination proofs
- Curry-Howard correspondence
- Ordinal numbers

State of Art

- Halting problem (Davis, 1958)
- Termination, (Turing, 1949) "How can one check a routine in the sense of making sure that it is right?"
- Basis for formal definitions of the meaning of programs (Floyd, 1967)
- Mapping the iterations of an algorithm to a corresponding set of ordinal numbers (Nachum Dershowitz, 1979)
- Processes that transform trees or terms can often be proved terminating by viewing the tree or the tree representation of the tree as an ordinal. (Dershowitz, 1993)

Definition

A tree is an ordered set (T, \leq) which has a least element and is such that, for every $x \in T$, the set $\{y \in T \mid y < x\}$ is well-ordered by \leq . (Hrbacek and Jech, 1999)

Theorem

Every well-ordered set is isomorphic to a unique ordinal number. (Hrbacek and Jech, 1999)

Progress and challenges

A program for simplification of a formula

Consider the following system:

$$\neg \neg X \Rightarrow X$$
$$\neg (X \lor y) \Rightarrow \neg X \land \neg y$$
$$\neg (X \land y) \Rightarrow \neg X \lor \neg y$$
$$X \land (y \lor z) \Rightarrow (X \land y) \lor (X \land z)$$
$$(y \lor z) \land x \Rightarrow (y \land x) \lor (z \land x)$$

If we develop an algorithm for this system, how can we prove that it terminates?

The procedure of applying the previous rules to a logical formula produces a formula in **disjunctive normal form**.

Main challenge:

To find a function that maps the recursive calls of the algorithm that are decreasing to a set of ordinal numbers or to find the tree representation of the term as an ordinal.

- A **rewrite (term-rewriting)** system R over a set of terms T is a (finite) set of rewrite rules, each of the form l -> r, where l and r are terms containing variables ranging over T, and such that r only contains variables also in l.
- **Confluence** is a property of rewriting systems, describing which terms in such a system can be rewritten in more than one way.

- Termination is a property of rewrite systems. No infinite derivations are possible.
- The difficulty that may be encountered when attempting to determine if a rewrite systems terminates is related to the non-deterministic choice of the rules of rewriting.

$$\neg (X \lor (y \land z)) \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \neg X \land \neg (y \land z)$$
$$\neg (X \lor (y \land z)) \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \neg ((X \lor y) \land (X \lor z))$$

Question: How many recursive calls are necessary in order that the given formula is in disjunctive normal form?

References

Martin Davis. *Computability and Unsolvability*. McGraw-Hill, 1958. Nachum Dershowitz. Trees, ordinals and termination. *Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg*, 1993.

- Robert W. Floyd. Assigning meanings to programs. *Mathematical Aspects of Computer Science*, 1967.
- Karel Hrbacek and Thomas Jech. Introduction to Set Theory. Third Edition, Revised and Expanded. Marcel Dekker, 1999.
- Zohar Manna Nachum Dershowitz. Proving termination with multiset orderings. *Communications of the ACM*, 1979.
- Alan M. Turing. Checking a large routine. *Report of a Conference on High Speed Automatic Calculating*, 1949.