Verification of Functional Programs Induction

Andrés Sicard-Ramírez

EAFIT University

Semester 2014-1

Source Code

All the source code have been tested with Agda 2.3.2, Coq 8.4pl3 and Isabelle 2013-2.

The Principle of Mathematical Induction

The principle of mathematical induction

Let A(x) be a propositional function. To prove A(x) for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$, it suffices prove:

- \bullet the basis A(0) and
- the induction step, that $A(n) \Rightarrow A(n+1)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (A(n) is called the induction hypothesis).

First-order logic version

Let A(x) be a formula with free variable x. For each formula A(x):

 $[A(0) \land \forall x.A(x) \Rightarrow A(x+1)] \Rightarrow \forall x.A(x) \quad \text{(axiom schema of induction)}$

First-order logic version

Let A(x) be a formula with free variable x. For each formula A(x):

 $[A(0) \land \forall x.A(x) \Rightarrow A(x+1)] \Rightarrow \forall x.A(x) \quad \text{(axiom schema of induction)}$

Equivalent formulations

$$\begin{array}{ll} A(0) \Rightarrow \left[\left(\forall x.A(x) \Rightarrow A(x+1) \right) \Rightarrow \forall x.A(x) \right] & \text{(by exportation)} \\ A(0) \Rightarrow \left(\forall x.A(x) \Rightarrow A(x+1) \right) \Rightarrow \forall x.A(x) & \text{(right-assoc. conditional)} \end{array}$$

First-order logic version

Let A(x) be a formula with free variable x. For each formula A(x):

 $[A(0) \land \forall x.A(x) \Rightarrow A(x+1)] \Rightarrow \forall x.A(x) \quad \text{(axiom schema of induction)}$

Equivalent formulations

$$\begin{split} A(0) &\Rightarrow \left[\left(\forall x.A(x) \Rightarrow A(x+1) \right) \Rightarrow \forall x.A(x) \right] & \text{(by exportation)} \\ A(0) &\Rightarrow \left(\forall x.A(x) \Rightarrow A(x+1) \right) \Rightarrow \forall x.A(x) & \text{(right-assoc. conditional)} \end{split}$$

Inference rule style

$$\begin{array}{cc} A(0) & \forall x.A(x) \Rightarrow A(x+1) \\ \hline & \forall x.A(x) \end{array}$$

Higher-order logic

'The adjetive 'first-order' is used to distinguish the languages... from those in which are predicates having other predicates or functions as arguments, or quantification over functions or predicates, or both.' [Mendelson (1965) 1997, p. 56]

Higher-order logic

'The adjetive 'first-order' is used to distinguish the languages... from those in which are predicates having other predicates or functions as arguments, or quantification over functions or predicates, or both.' [Mendelson (1965) 1997, p. 56]

Second-order logic version

Let X be a predicate variable.

 $\forall X.X(0) \Rightarrow (\forall x.X(x) \Rightarrow X(x+1)) \Rightarrow \forall x.X(x)$ (axiom of induction)

The Principle of Mathematical Induction

Historical remark Dedekind [(1888) 2005] and Peano [(1889) 1967] axiom: $1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Coq generates the induction principles associated to the inductively defined (data) types.

Example (Coq)

The inductive data type for natural numbers.

Require Import Unicode.Utf8.

Inductive nat : Set :=

- | 0 : nat
- | S : nat \rightarrow nat.

The Check nat_ind command yields:

nat_ind : \forall P : nat → **Prop**, P O → (\forall n : nat, P n → P (S n)) → \forall n : nat, P n

```
The Check nat_ind command yields:

nat_ind : \forall P : nat \rightarrow Prop,

P \ 0 \rightarrow (\forall n : nat, P n \rightarrow P (S n)) \rightarrow \forall n : nat, P n
```

The Check nat_rec command yields:

```
nat_rec : \forall P : nat → Set,
P O → (\forall n : nat, P n → P (S n)) → \forall n : nat, P n
```

```
The Check nat ind command yields:
   nat ind : \forall P : nat \rightarrow Prop,
                   P \ 0 \rightarrow (\forall n : nat, P \ n \rightarrow P \ (S \ n)) \rightarrow \forall n : nat, P \ n
The Check nat rec command yields:
   nat rec : \forall P : nat \rightarrow Set,
                   P \ 0 \rightarrow (\forall n : nat, P n \rightarrow P (S n)) \rightarrow \forall n : nat, P n
The Check nat rect command yields:
   nat rec : \forall P : nat \rightarrow Type,
                   P \cup (\forall n : nat, P \cap \rightarrow P (S \cap)) \rightarrow \forall n : nat, P \cap
```

Implementation remark

What happen if instead of using

```
Inductive nat : Set := 0 : nat | S : nat → nat
```

we renamed the data type nat by

```
Inductive P : Set := 0 : P | S : P \rightarrow P
```

or we renamed the data constructor S by

```
Inductive nat : Set := 0 : nat | P : nat → nat
?
```

Source: McBride and McKinna [2004]

Isabelle also generates the induction principles associated to the inductively defined (data) types.

Example (Isabelle)

The inductive data type for natural numbers.

datatype nat = Z | S nat

Isabelle also generates the induction principles associated to the inductively defined (data) types.

Example (Isabelle)

The inductive data type for natural numbers.

```
datatype nat = Z | S nat
```

The print_theorems command yields (among others):

nat.induct: ?P Z \Rightarrow $\forall x$. ?P x \Rightarrow ?P (S x)) \Rightarrow ?P ?nat

Agda doesn't generate the induction principles, but the user can use pattern matching on the inductively defined (data) types.

Example (Agda)

The inductive data type for natural numbers.

```
data \mathbb{N} : Set where
zero : \mathbb{N}
succ : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}
```

The principle of mathematical induction.

```
N-ind : (A : N → Set) →

A zero →

(∀ n → A n → A (succ n)) →

∀ n → A n

N-ind A A0 h zero = A0

N-ind A A0 h (succ n) = h n (N-ind A A0 h n)
```

In Agda, Coq and Isabelle, the 'axiom of induction' is not an axiom

In Agda, Coq and Isabelle, the 'axiom of induction' is not an axiom (the introduction rules induce the induction principles).

Course-of-values induction (strong or complete induction)

Let A(x) be a propositional function. To prove A(x) for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$, it is enough to prove:

 $(\forall 0 \leq k < n)(A(k) \Rightarrow A(n)), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$

Course-of-Values Induction

Example

The Fibonacci numbers are defined by $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$ and $F_{k+2} = F_k + F_{k+1}$, so $F = \{0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, \dots\}.$

Course-of-Values Induction

Example

The Fibonacci numbers are defined by $F_0=0,\ F_1=1$ and $F_{k+2}=F_k+F_{k+1},$ so $F=\{0,1,1,2,3,5,8,13,21,\dots\}.$

Let Φ and $\hat{\Phi}$ be the roots of the equation x^2-x-1 :

$$\Phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \text{ and } \hat{\Phi} = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2},$$

so $\Phi^2 = \Phi + 1$ and $\hat{\Phi}^2 = \hat{\Phi} + 1$. Then [Bird and Wadler 1988, p. 107.]

$$F_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\Phi^k - \hat{\Phi}^k), \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Theorem

Mathematical induction and course-of-values induction are equivalent [Winskel 2010].

Structural induction

Let A(X) be a propositional function about the structures X that are defined by some recursive/inductive definition.

Structural induction

Let A(X) be a propositional function about the structures X that are defined by some recursive/inductive definition.

To prove A(X) for all the structures X, it suffices prove [Hopcroft, Motwani and Ullman (1979) 2007]:

• A(X) for the basis structure(s) of X and

Structural induction

Let A(X) be a propositional function about the structures X that are defined by some recursive/inductive definition.

To prove A(X) for all the structures X, it suffices prove [Hopcroft, Motwani and Ullman (1979) 2007]:

- A(X) for the basis structure(s) of X and
- given a structure X whose recursive/inductive definition says is formed from Y_1, \ldots, Y_k , that A(X) assuming that the properties $A(Y_1), \ldots, A(Y_k)$ hold.

Example (Coq)

The parametric inductive data type.

Require Import Unicode.Utf8.

```
Inductive list (A : Type) : Type :=
| nil : list A
| cons : A → list A → list A.
```

Example (Coq)

The parametric inductive data type.

Require Import Unicode.Utf8.

```
Inductive list (A : Type) : Type :=
| nil : list A
| cons : A → list A → list A.
```

The induction principle.

```
list_ind : ∀ (A : Type) (P : list A → Prop),
        P (nil A) →
        (∀ (a : A) (l : list A), P l → P (cons A a l)) →
        ∀ l : list A, P l
```

Example (Isabelle)

The polymorphic inductive data type.

datatype 'a list = Nil | Cons 'a "'a list"

Example (Isabelle)

The polymorphic inductive data type.

datatype 'a list = Nil | Cons 'a "'a list"

The induction principle.

list.induct: ?P Nil \Rightarrow $\forall x1$ x2. ?P x2 \Rightarrow ?P (Cons x1 x2)) \Rightarrow ?P ?list

Example (Agda)

The parametric inductive data type.

```
data List (A : Set) : Set where

[] : List A

:: : A \rightarrow List A \rightarrow List A
```

Example (Agda)

The parametric inductive data type.

data List (A : Set) : Set where
[] : List A
:: : A → List A → List A

The induction principle.

```
List-ind : {A : Set} (B : List A \rightarrow Set) \rightarrow

B [] \rightarrow

((x : A) (xs : List A) \rightarrow B xs \rightarrow B (x :: xs)) \rightarrow

\forall xs \rightarrow B xs

List-ind B B[] h [] = B[]

List-ind B B[] h (x :: xs) = h x xs (List-ind B B[] h xs)
```

Let \prec be a binary relation on a set A. The relation \prec is a **well-founded** relation iff every non-empty subset $S \subseteq A$ has a minimal element, that is,

$$(\forall S \subseteq A)[\, S \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow (\exists m \in S)(\forall s \in S)(s \not\prec m)\,].$$

Let \prec be a binary relation on a set A. The relation \prec is a **well-founded** relation iff every non-empty subset $S \subseteq A$ has a minimal element, that is,

$$(\forall S \subseteq A)[\, S \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow (\exists m \in S)(\forall s \in S)(s \not\prec m)\,].$$

Definition (Well-founded induction)

Let \prec be a well-founded relation on a set A and A(x) a propositional function. To prove A(x) for all $a \in A$, it suffices prove:

$$(\forall b \prec a)(A(b) \Rightarrow A(a)), \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Let \prec be the well-founded relation on $\mathbb N$ given by the graph of the successor function $n\mapsto n+1.$

Let \prec be the well-founded relation on $\mathbb N$ given by the graph of the successor function $n\mapsto n+1.$

Then mathematical induction is a special case of well-founded induction.

Let \prec be the well-founded relation on $\mathbb N$ given by the graph of the successor function $n\mapsto n+1.$

Then mathematical induction is a special case of well-founded induction.

Example

Let \prec be the well-founded relation 'less than' on $\mathbb N.$

Let \prec be the well-founded relation on $\mathbb N$ given by the graph of the successor function $n\mapsto n+1.$

Then mathematical induction is a special case of well-founded induction.

Example

Let \prec be the well-founded relation 'less than' on $\mathbb{N}.$

Then course-of-values induction is a special case of well-founded induction.

'If we take \prec to be the relation between expressions such that $a \prec b$ holds iff a is an immediate sub-expression of b we obtain the principle of structural induction as a special case of well-founded induction.' [Winskel 2010, p. 93]

In type theory a : A denotes that a is a term (or proof term) of type A.

In type theory a : A denotes that a is a term (or proof term) of type A.

Under the proposition-as-types principle, the empty type represents the false (absurdity or contradiction) proposition [Sørensen and Urzyczyn 2006].

In type theory a : A denotes that a is a term (or proof term) of type A.

Under the proposition-as-types principle, the empty type represents the false (absurdity or contradiction) proposition [Sørensen and Urzyczyn 2006].

Therefore e : EmptyType represents a contradiction in our formalisation.

Example (Agda)

data ⊥ : Set where ⊥-elim : {A : Set} → ⊥ → A ⊥-elim () -- The absurd pattern.

Example (Coq)

(From the standard library)

```
Inductive Empty_set : Set :=.
```

```
Empty_set_rect : ∀ (P : Empty_set → Type) (e : Empty_set), P e
```

Example (Coq)

```
(From the standard library)
```

```
Inductive Empty_set : Set :=.
```

```
Empty_set_rect : ∀ (P : Empty_set → Type) (e : Empty_set), P e
```

```
Theorem emptySetElim {A : Set}(e : Empty_set) : A.
apply (Empty_set_rect (fun _ => A) e).
Oed.
```

Example (Coq)

```
(From the standard library)
```

```
Inductive Empty_set : Set :=.
```

```
Empty_set_rect : ∀ (P : Empty_set → Type) (e : Empty_set), P e
```

```
Theorem emptySetElim {A : Set}(e : Empty_set) : A.
apply (Empty_set_rect (fun _ => A) e).
Qed.
```

```
Theorem emptySetElim' {A : Set}(e : Empty_set) : A.
elim e.
```

Qed.

The inductive types can be defined/represented as least fixed-points of appropriated functions (functors).

The inductive types can be defined/represented as least fixed-points of appropriated functions (functors).

Example

Let 1 be the unity type, and + and \times be the operators for disjoint union and Cartesian product, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Nat} &:= \mu X.1 + X, \\ \mathsf{List} \; A &:= \mu X.1 + (A \times X). \end{aligned}$$

'The occurrence of a type variable is **positive** iff it occurs within an even number of left hand sides of \rightarrow -types, it is **strictly positive** iff it never occurs on the left hand side of a \rightarrow -type.' [Abel and Altenkirch 2000, p. 21].

Let $\mu X.F(X)$ be an inductive type. The type $\mu X.F(X)$ is a **strictly positive type** if X occurs strictly positive in F(X).

Positive types	Negative types
Strictly positive types	

Let $\mu X.F(X)$ be an inductive type. The type $\mu X.F(X)$ is a **strictly positive type** if X occurs strictly positive in F(X).



Proof assistants

Agda, Coq and Isabelle accept only strictly positive inductive types.

Some issues with non-strictly positive inductive types

• Infinite unfolding

See source code in the course web page.

Some issues with non-strictly positive inductive types

• Infinite unfolding

See source code in the course web page.

• Proving absurdity

See source code in the course web page.

The following examples of inductive types^{*} are rejected by Agda (Coq and Isabelle) because they are not strictly positive inductive types.

Example (negative type)

$$\mathsf{D} \coloneqq \mu X. X \to X$$

data D : Set where

lam : $(D \rightarrow D) \rightarrow D$

- -- D is not strictly positive, because it occurs to the left
- -- of an arrow in the type of the constructor lam in the
- -- definition of D.

*Adapted from the Coq'Art, Matthes' PhD thesis and Agda's source code.

Example (positive, non-strictly positive type)

$$\mathsf{P} \coloneqq \mu X. (X \to 2) \to 2$$

data P : Set where

p : ((P \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow P

- -- P is not strictly positive, because it occurs to the left
- -- of an arrow in the type of the constructor p in the
- -- definition of P.

References

Abel, Andreas and Altenkirch, Thorsten (2000). A Predicative Strong Normalisation Proof for a -Calculus with Interleaving Inductive Types. In: Types for Proofs and Programs (TYPES 1999). Ed. by Coguand, Thierry et al. Vol. 1956. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, pp. 21-40 (cit. on p. 50). Bird, Richard and Wadler, Philip (1988). Introduction to Functional Programming. Series in Computer Sciences. Prentice Hall International (cit. on pp. 22, 23). Dedekind, Richard [1888] (2005). Was sind und was sollen die Zahlen? In: From Kant to Hilbert: A Source Book in the Foundations of Mathematics. Vol. II. Clarendon Press, pp. 787–833 (cit. on p. 9). Hopcroft, John E., Motwani, Rajeev and Ullman, Jefferey D. [1979] (2007). Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation. 3rd ed. Pearson Education (cit. on pp. 25–27). McBride, Conor and McKinna, James (2004). Functional Pearl: I am not a Number—I am a Free Variable. In: Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN 2004 Haskell Workshop, pp. 1–9 (cit. on p. 14). Mendelson, Elliott [1965] (1997). Introduction to Mathematical Logic. 4th ed. Chapman & Hall (cit. on pp. 7, 8).

References

Peano, Giuseppe [1889] (1967). The Principles of Arithmetic, Presented by a New Method. In: From Frege to Gödel: A Source Book in Mathematical Logic, 1879–1931. Ed. by van Heijenoort, Jean. Source Books in the History of the Sciences. Translation of 'Arithmetices principia, nova methodo exposita' by the editor. Harvard University Press, pp. 83–97 (cit. on p. 9).
Sørensen, Morten-Heine and Urzyczyn, Paul (2006). Lectures on the Curry-Howard Isomorphism. Vol. 149. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics. Elsevier (cit. on pp. 41–43).
Winskel, Glynn (2010). Set Theory for Computer Science. (Cit. on pp. 24, 40).