Ordinals and Typed Lambda Calculus Definable Ordinals in the Lambda Calculus

Andrés Sicard-Ramírez

Universidad EAFIT

Semester 2018-2

(Last modification: 7th May 2025)

Introduction

Alonzo Church (1903 - 1995)*







^{*}Figures sources: History of computers, Wikipedia and MacTutor History of Mathematics. Definable Ordinals in the Lambda Calculus

Introduction

Stephen Cole Kleene (1909 - 1994)*





*Figures sources: MacTutor History of Mathematics and Oberwolfach. Definable Ordinals in the Lambda Calculus

Introduction

Some remarks

- Church and Kleene defined the λ -definable ordinals in [Kle1937], [CK1937], [Chu1938] and [Kle1938].
- The λ -definable ordinals are a proper subset of the set of countable ordinals.

Starting the Representation

Basic combinators

The representation of countable ordinals has the following basic combinators:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{o}} &\coloneqq \lambda m. \, m \, \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{1}}, \\ \mathsf{succ}_{\mathbf{o}} &\coloneqq \lambda \, a \, m. \, m \, \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{2}} \, a, \\ \mathsf{lim}_{\mathbf{o}} &\coloneqq \lambda \, a \, r \, m. \, m \, \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{3}} \, a \, r. \end{split}$$

Representation

The representation of countable ordinals in the λ -calculus is inductively defined by [CK1937]:

- 1. If a combinator a represents an ordinal α , and $a' =_{\beta} a$, then a' also represents α .
- 2. The combinator 0_o represents the ordinal 0.
- 3. If a combinator a represents an ordinal α , then succ_o a represents the successor of α .
- 4. If the ordinal α is the limit of an increasing ω -sequence of ordinals $\langle \alpha_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and if r is a combinator such that the λ -terms

 $r\, 0_o, r\, (\mathsf{succ}_o\, 0_o), r\, (\mathsf{succ}_o\, (\mathsf{succ}_o\, 0_o)), \ldots$

represent the ordinals $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots$, respectively, then $\lim_{o} 0_o r$ represents α .

Example

The finite ordinals are representable by

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{o}} &\coloneqq \lambda \, m. \, m \, \mathbf{c_1}, \\ (\mathbf{n+1})_{\mathbf{o}} &\coloneqq \mathsf{succ_o} \, \mathbf{n_o}. \end{split}$$

Hence,

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{o}} &=_{\beta} \lambda \, m. \, m \, \mathbf{c}_{1}, \\ \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{o}} &=_{\beta} \lambda \, m. \, m \, \mathbf{c}_{2} \, (\lambda \, m. \, m \, \mathbf{c}_{1}), \\ \mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{o}} &=_{\beta} \lambda \, m. \, m \, \mathbf{c}_{2} \, (\lambda \, m. \, m \, \mathbf{c}_{2} \, (\lambda \, m. \, m \, \mathbf{c}_{1})), \\ \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{o}} &=_{\beta} \lambda \, m. \, m \, \mathbf{c}_{2} \, (\lambda \, m. \, m \, \mathbf{c}_{2} \, (\lambda \, m. \, m \, \mathbf{c}_{1}))). \end{aligned}$

Example

Recall that $I := \lambda x \cdot x$. The first transfinite countable ordinal ω is representable by

 $\omega_{o} \coloneqq \lim_{o} 0_{o} I.$

Example

Since that $\omega \cdot 2$ can be defined by

$$\omega \cdot 2 = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle \omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \ldots \rangle,$$

to represent this ordinal, we need to define a combinator \boldsymbol{r} such that

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r} \, \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{o}} &=_{\beta} \omega_{\mathbf{o}}, \\ \mathbf{r} \, (\mathbf{n}+1)_{\mathbf{o}} =_{\beta} \operatorname{succ}_{\mathbf{o}} (\mathbf{r} \, \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{o}}). \end{aligned}$

Definition

A (countable) ordinal is λ -definable iff there is a combinator representing it [CK1937, p. 14].

Example

The finite ordinals and the ordinals ω and $\omega\cdot 2$ are $\lambda\text{-definable}.$

Theorem

There are countable ordinals which are not λ -definable [CK1937, p. 14].

Proof.

The λ -terms are denumerable but the countable ordinals are not. Therefore, there is a least countable ordinal ξ which is not λ -definable. Moreover, any countable ordinal greater than ξ is neither λ -definable.

Theorem

There are countable ordinals which are not λ -definable [CK1937, p. 14].

Proof.

The λ -terms are denumerable but the countable ordinals are not. Therefore, there is a least countable ordinal ξ which is not λ -definable. Moreover, any countable ordinal greater than ξ is neither λ -definable.

Remark

Note that the above proof is not constructive.

Remark

The least countable ordinal which is not λ -definable is denoted ω_1^{CK} , the Church-Kleene ω_1 .*

*See, e.g. [Mos2009]. Definable Ordinals in the Lambda Calculus

Constructive Ordinals

Definition

An ordinal α is **constructive** (first definition) iff α is λ -definable [Chu1938].

Example

Addition, multiplication and exponentiation on λ -definable ordinals are λ -definable functions.

Example

It is possible to define a predecessor function on λ -definable ordinals with the following behaviour:

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{pred}_{o} \ \mathbf{0}_{o} & =_{\beta} \mathbf{0}_{o}, \\ & \text{pred}_{o} \ (\text{succ}_{o} \ \mathbf{n}_{o}) =_{\beta} \mathbf{n}_{o} \\ & \text{pred}_{o} \ (\text{lim}_{o} \ \mathbf{n}_{o} \ \mathbf{r}) =_{\beta} \text{lim}_{o} \ \mathbf{n}_{o} \ \mathbf{r} \end{aligned}$

In relation to the third equation, Church and Kleene [CK1937, Footnote 9] wrote that it was somewhat arbitrary.

Theorem

Let CO be the set of countable ordinals. The following function is not λ -definable [Chu1938]:

$$\begin{split} \varphi : \mathrm{CO} \times \mathrm{CO} \to \mathrm{CO} \\ \varphi(\alpha,\beta) &:= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \alpha < \beta; \\ 1, & \text{if } \alpha = \beta; \\ 2, & \text{if } \alpha > \beta. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Remark

In relation to the previous theorem, Church wrote:

This is not surprising. It is, for instance, not difficult to give examples of pairs of constructive definitions of ordinals such that the question whether the ordinals defined are equal, or which of the two is greater, depends on this or that unsolved problem of number theory; and indeed this may be done without employing any ordinal greater than ω^2 . [Chu1938, p. 231]

References

- [Chu1938] Alonzo Church. The Constructive Second Number Class. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 44.4 (1938), pp. 224–232. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9904-1938-06720-1 (cit. on pp. 4, 14, 17, 18).
- [CK1937] Alonzo Church and S. C. Kleene. Formal Definitions in the Theory of Ordinal Numbers. Fundamenta Mathematicae 28.1 (1937), pp. 11–21. URL: http://matwbn.icm.edu.pl/ ksiazki/fm/fm28/fm2813.pdf (cit. on pp. 4, 6, 10–12, 16).
- [Kle1937] S. C. Kleene. On Notation for Ordinal Numbers. Preliminary Report. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 41.1 (1937), p. 41. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9904-1937-06484-6 (cit. on p. 4).
- [Kle1938] S. C. Kleene. On Notation for Ordinal Numbers. The Journal of Symbolic Logic 3.4 (1938), pp. 150–157. DOI: 10.2307/2267778 (cit. on p. 4).
- [Mos2009] Yiannis N. Moschovakis. Descriptive Set Theory. 2nd ed. American Mathematical Society, 2009 (1980) (cit. on p. 13).