# Ordinals and Typed Lambda Calculus Countable and Uncountable Ordinals

Andrés Sicard-Ramírez

Universidad EAFIT

Semester 2018-2

(Last modification: 7th May 2025)

Cantor's first number class are the finite ordinals and his second number class are the denumerable ordinals. In words of Ivorra Castillo [Ivo2013, p. 293]:

Según explicaba [Cantor], los números transfinitos se obtienen mediante dos principios. El 'primer principio de generación' consiste en añadir una unidad. Es el principio que, por sí sólo, genera los números naturales: 0, 1, 2, 3, ... A éstos los llamó 'números transfinitos de primera especie'.

Cantor's first number class are the finite ordinals and his second number class are the denumerable ordinals. In words of Ivorra Castillo [Ivo2013, p. 293]:

Según explicaba [Cantor], los números transfinitos se obtienen mediante dos principios. El 'primer principio de generación' consiste en añadir una unidad. Es el principio que, por sí sólo, genera los números naturales: 0, 1, 2, 3, ... A éstos los llamó 'números transfinitos de primera especie'.

Ahora bien, Cantor afirmaba que, cuando tenemos una sucesión inacabada de números transfinitos, siempre podemos postular la existencia de un nuevo número transfinito como inmediato posterior a todos ellos, y a esto lo llamó el 'segundo principio de generación'.

(continued on next slide)

## (continuation)

Así, tras la sucesión de todos los números de primera especie, el segundo principio nos da la existencia de un nuevo número transfinito, el primero de los números de segunda especie, al que Cantor llamó  $\omega$ . A éste podemos aplicarle de nuevo el primer principio, para obtener  $\omega+1$ ,  $\omega+2$ , etc . . .

## (continuation)

Así, tras la sucesión de todos los números de primera especie, el segundo principio nos da la existencia de un nuevo número transfinito, el primero de los números de segunda especie, al que Cantor llamó  $\omega$ . A éste podemos aplicarle de nuevo el primer principio, para obtener  $\omega+1$ ,  $\omega+2$ , etc . . .

Cantor definió los números transfinitos de segunda especie como los números transfinitos que dejan tras de sí una cantidad numerable de números transfinitos.

# Church's Redefinition of the Second Number Class

Note that Cantor's first and second number classes are disjoint. Church redefined the second number class by including the first number class on it [Chu1938, p. 225]:

The second number class may be described as the simply ordered set which results when we take 0 as the first (or least) element of the set and allow the two following processes of generation: (1) given any element of the set, to generate the element which next follows it (the least element greater than it); (2) given any infinite increasing sequence of elements, of the order type of the natural numbers, to generate the element which next follows the sequence (the least element greater than every element of the sequence). The elements of the set are ordinals.

# Number Classes Terminology

#### Remark

In relation to the current use of the number classes terminology, Hancock [Han2008, p. 10] wrote:

This terminology [first and second number classes] comes from Cantor. You'll probably encounter it. But beware, sometimes people mean slightly different things by this 'number class' talk. Nowadays, most people probably understand number-classes cumulatively, so that the second number class contains the first number class. Whereas for Cantor himself, the number classes were disjoint.

# Countable Ordinals

#### Definition

A **countable ordinal** is an ordinal whose cardinality is countable (i.e. either finite or denumerable).

# Countable Ordinals

#### Definition

A **countable ordinal** is an ordinal whose cardinality is countable (i.e. either finite or denumerable).

#### Remark

Note that the countable ordinals are the ordinals in the (cumulative) second number class.

# Countable Ordinals

#### Definition

A **countable ordinal** is an ordinal whose cardinality is countable (i.e. either finite or denumerable).

## Remark

Note that the countable ordinals are the ordinals in the (cumulative) second number class.

## Question

Do you want to know some countable ordinals? The fun can start in Baez's (three parts) blog 'Large Countable Ordinals'.\*

<sup>\*</sup>Available at

A description of  $\epsilon_0$ 

The ordinal  $\epsilon_0$  is defined by

$$\epsilon_0 \coloneqq \sup \left\{ \omega, \omega^{\omega}, \omega^{\omega^{\omega}}, \omega^{\omega^{\omega^{\omega}}}, \dots \right\}.$$

A description of  $\epsilon_0$ 

The ordinal  $\epsilon_0$  is defined by

$$\epsilon_0 \coloneqq \sup \left\{ \omega, \omega^{\omega}, \omega^{\omega^{\omega}}, \omega^{\omega^{\omega^{\omega}}}, \dots \right\}.$$

#### Remark

Note that  $\epsilon_0$  is a (the least) fixed-point of the exponential function  $\lambda x.\omega^x$ , that is

$$\epsilon_0 = \omega^{\epsilon_0}$$
.

A description of  $\epsilon_0$ 

The ordinal  $\epsilon_0$  is defined by

$$\epsilon_0 \coloneqq \sup \left\{ \omega, \omega^{\omega}, \omega^{\omega^{\omega}}, \omega^{\omega^{\omega^{\omega}}}, \dots \right\}.$$

#### Remark

Note that  $\epsilon_0$  is a (the least) fixed-point of the exponential function  $\lambda x.\omega^x$ , that is

$$\epsilon_0 = \omega^{\epsilon_0}$$
.

Question

Is  $\epsilon_0$  a countable ordinal?

A description of  $\epsilon_0$ 

The ordinal  $\epsilon_0$  is defined by

$$\epsilon_0 \coloneqq \sup \left\{ \omega, \omega^{\omega}, \omega^{\omega^{\omega}}, \omega^{\omega^{\omega^{\omega}}}, \dots \right\}.$$

#### Remark

Note that  $\epsilon_0$  is a (the least) fixed-point of the exponential function  $\lambda x.\omega^x$ , that is

$$\epsilon_0 = \omega^{\epsilon_0}$$
.

#### Question

Is  $\epsilon_0$  a countable ordinal? Yes!

#### Definition

A  $\omega$ -sequence is an infinite sequence of the order-type of the natural numbers.

#### Definition

Countable and Uncountable Ordinals

Let  $\alpha$  be a limit countable ordinal and let  $\langle \alpha_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an increasing  $\omega$ -sequence of ordinals such that

$$\alpha = \sup \{ \alpha_i \mid \alpha_i \in \langle \alpha_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \}.$$

The increasing  $\omega$ -sequence  $\langle \alpha_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a **fundamental sequence** for the ordinal  $\alpha$ .\*

<sup>\*</sup>See, e.g. [Rog1992] and [Rat2006]. Some authors require that the  $\omega$ -sequence be strictly increasing. Other authors allow non-decreasing  $\omega$ -sequences as fundamental sequences for successor ordinals.

#### Notation

Given a fundamental sequence  $\langle \alpha_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $\alpha$ , we define

$$\lim_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \alpha_n := \sup \{ \alpha_i \mid \alpha_i \in \langle \alpha_n \rangle_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \}.$$

#### Notation

Given a fundamental sequence  $\langle \alpha_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $\alpha$ , we define

$$\lim_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \alpha_n := \sup \{ \alpha_i \mid \alpha_i \in \langle \alpha_n \rangle_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \}.$$

## Example

Some fundamental sequences.

$$\omega = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle 0, 1, 2, \ldots \rangle, \qquad \qquad \omega^{\omega} = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle \omega, \omega^{2}, \omega^{3}, \ldots \rangle,$$

$$\omega \cdot 2 = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle \omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \ldots \rangle, \qquad \qquad \epsilon_{0} = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle \omega, \omega^{\omega}, \omega^{\omega^{\omega}}, \ldots \rangle.$$

$$\omega^{2} = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle \omega, \omega \cdot 2, \omega \cdot 3, \ldots \rangle,$$

#### Remark

When working with countable ordinals it is common to use fundamental sequences instead of the actual ordinals.

#### Theorem

The collection of all countable ordinals is a set.

#### Theorem

The collection of all countable ordinals is a set.

#### Proof\*

1. We define the following propositional functions:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{wo}(x)\coloneqq x \text{ is a well-ordered set},\\ &\mathrm{ot}(x,y)\coloneqq y \text{ is the order-type of } x,\\ &\varphi(x,y)\coloneqq [\,\mathrm{wo}(x)\wedge\mathrm{ot}(x,y)]\vee [\neg\mathrm{wo}(x)\wedge y=0\,]. \end{aligned}$$

2. Using the replacement axiom scheme on  $\mathcal{P}(\omega \times \omega)$  and  $\varphi(x,y)$  we know that

$$S = \{ y \mid \exists x (x \in \mathcal{P}(\omega \times \omega) \land \varphi(x, y) \} \text{ is a set. }$$

By Juan Carlos Agudelo-Agudelo, personal communication.

## Proof (continuation).

3. Since any denumerable ordinal is isomorphic to some well-ordering on  $\omega$  (or to some subset of  $\omega$  if the ordinal is finite), then any countable ordinal belongs to the set S. Hence, the collection of the countable ordinals is a set.

# Proof (continuation).

3. Since any denumerable ordinal is isomorphic to some well-ordering on  $\omega$  (or to some subset of  $\omega$  if the ordinal is finite), then any countable ordinal belongs to the set S. Hence, the collection of the countable ordinals is a set.

#### Question

Is the previous proof a constructive proof?

#### Theorem

The set of all ordinals in Cantor's second class number is non-denumerable.\*

#### Question

Can you think in an one-to-one correspondence between the set of Cantor's second class number and the real numbers?

#### **Theorem**

The set of the countable ordinals is non-denumerable.

<sup>\*</sup>See, e.g. [Sie1965, Theorem 2, p. 370].

# **Uncountable Ordinals**

#### Definition

An uncountable ordinal is an ordinal whose cardinality is uncountable.

## Uncountable Ordinals

#### Definition

An uncountable ordinal is an ordinal whose cardinality is uncountable.

## Example

The first uncountable ordinal, denoted by  $\omega_1$ , is the supremum of the set of the countable ordinals.

# Cantor's *n*-th Number Class

#### Definition

Cantor's first number class are the finite ordinals, his second number class are the ordinals of cardinal  $\aleph_0$ , his third number class are the ordinals of cardinal  $\aleph_1$ , and so on.\*

# Cantor's *n*-th Number Class

#### Definition

Cantor's first number class are the finite ordinals, his second number class are the ordinals of cardinal  $\aleph_0$ , his third number class are the ordinals of cardinal  $\aleph_1$ , and so on.\*

#### Example

The first uncountable ordinal  $\omega_1$  is a 3rd number class.

## References

[Chu1938]	Alonzo Church. The Constructive Second Number Class. Bulletin of the American Math-
	ematical Society 44.4 (1938), pp. 224–232. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9904-1938-06720-1
	(cit. on p. 6).

- [Han2008] Peter Hancock. (Ordinal-theoretic) Proof Theory. Midlands Graduate School. 2008. URL: http://events.cs.bham.ac.uk/mgs2008/ (visited on 27/11/2017) (cit. on p. 7).
- [Ivo2013] Carlos Ivorra Castillo. Lógica y Teoría de Conjuntos. 2013. URL: https://www.uv.es/ivorra/ (visited on 27/11/2017) (cit. on pp. 2, 3).
- [Rat2006] Michael Rathjen. The Art of Ordinal Analysis. In: Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Madrid 2006. Ed. by Marta Sanz-Solé, Juan Luis Varona, Javier Soria and Joan Verdera. Vol. II. European Mathematical Society, 2006, pp. 45–69 (cit. on p. 15).
- [Rog1992] Hartley Rogers. Theory of Recursive Functions and Effective Computability. Third printing. MIT Press, 1992 (1967) (cit. on p. 15).
- [Rus1938] Bertrand Russell. The Principles of Mathematics. 2nd ed. W. W. Norton & Company, Inc, 1938 (1903) (cit. on pp. 26, 27).

## References

[Sie1965]

Wacław Sierpiński. Cardinal and Ordinal Numbers. Second edition revised. Translated from Polish by Janina Smólska. PWN, 1965 (1958) (cit. on p. 23).