

CM0832 - MT5001 Elements of Set Theory
2. Relations, Functions and Orderings

Andrés Sicard-Ramírez

Universidad EAFIT

Semester 2026-1

Outline

- Preliminaries
- Introduction
- Ordered Pairs
- Relations
- Functions
- References

Preliminaries

Textbook

Karel Hrbacek and Thomas Jech ([1978] 1999). Introduction to Set Theory.

Convention

The numbers and page numbers assigned to chapters, examples, exercises, figures, quotes, sections and theorems on these slides correspond to the numbers assigned in the textbook.

Outline

- Preliminaries
- **Introduction**
- Ordered Pairs
- Relations
- Functions
- References

Introduction

Starting...

*“We begin our program of developing set theory as a **foundation for mathematics** by showing how various general mathematical concepts, such as relations, functions, and orderings **can be represented by sets.**” (p. 17)*

Outline

- Preliminaries
- Introduction
- **Ordered Pairs**
- Relations
- Functions
- References

Ordered Pairs

Definition [2.]1.1

Let a and b be sets. We define the **ordered pair** of a and b , denoted (a, b) , where a is the **first coordinate** and b is the **second coordinate**, using Kuratowski (1921)'s definition, that is,

$$(a, b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}.$$

Ordered Pairs

Definition [2.]1.1

Let a and b be sets. We define the **ordered pair** of a and b , denoted (a, b) , where a is the **first coordinate** and b is the **second coordinate**, using Kuratowski (1921)'s definition, that is,

$$(a, b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}.$$

Question

Is (a, b) a set?

Ordered Pairs

Example

We show that $(\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}) \neq (\{\emptyset\}, \emptyset)$.

$$(\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \} \neq \{ \{\{\emptyset\}\}, \{\{\emptyset\}, \emptyset\} \} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\{\emptyset\}, \emptyset).$$

Ordered Pairs

Example

Let a be a set. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(a, a) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\{a\}, \{a, a\}\} \\ &\doteq \{\{a\}, \{a\}\} \\ &\doteq \{\{a\}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Ordered Pairs

Exercise [2.]1.1

Let a and b sets.

- (i) Prove that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\{a, b\}))$.
- (ii) Prove that $a, b \in \bigcup(a, b)$.
- (iii) Prove that if $a \in A$ and $b \in A$, then $(a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A))$.

Ordered Pairs

Theorem [2.]1.8

Let a, a', b, b' be sets. Then,

$$(a, b) \doteq (a', b') \quad \text{if and only if} \quad a \doteq a' \quad \text{and} \quad b \doteq b'.$$

Ordered Pairs

Theorem [2.]1.8

Let a, a', b, b' be sets. Then,

$$(a, b) \doteq (a', b') \quad \text{if and only if} \quad a \doteq a' \quad \text{and} \quad b \doteq b'.$$

Exercise

To give a different definition of ordered pair. Note that your definition must be a set and it must satisfy Theorem [2.]1.8.

Ordered Pairs

Definitions

Let a , b , c and d sets. Then

$$(a, b, c) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ((a, b), c)$$

(ordered triples)

$$(a, b, c, d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ((a, b, c), d)$$

(ordered quadruples)

$$(a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a$$

(one-tuples)

Outline

- Preliminaries
- Introduction
- Ordered Pairs
- **Relations**
- Functions
- References

Relations

Definition [2.]2.1

A set R is a **binary relation** if and only if all elements of R are ordered pairs.

Relations

Definition [2.]2.1

A set R is a **binary relation** if and only if all elements of R are ordered pairs.

Remark

*“A binary relation is, therefore, determined by specifying all ordered pairs of objects in that relation; **it does not matter by what property** the set of these ordered pairs is described.” (p. 19)*

Relations

Definition [2.]2.1

A set R is a **binary relation** if and only if all elements of R are ordered pairs.

Remark

*“A binary relation is, therefore, determined by specifying all ordered pairs of objects in that relation; **it does not matter by what property** the set of these ordered pairs is described.” (p. 19)*

Notation

Let R be a binary relation. We write $(a, b) \in R$ or $a R b$.

Relations

Example (informal)

Whiteboard.

Relations

Example (informal)

Whiteboard.

Example (informal)

Let $\mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. The identity relation on ω is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Id}_\omega &= \{ (n, n) \mid n \in \mathbf{N} \} \\ &= \{ (0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2), \dots \}.\end{aligned}$$

Relations

Definition [2.]2.3

Let R be a binary relation. Then

$$\text{dom } R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \mid \text{there exists } y \text{ such as } x R y\} \quad (\text{domain of } R)$$

$$\text{ran } R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{y \mid \text{there exists } x \text{ such as } x R y\} \quad (\text{range of } R)$$

$$\text{field } R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{dom } R \cup \text{ran } R \quad (\text{field of } R)$$

Relations

Definition [2.]2.3

Let R be a binary relation. Then

$$\text{dom } R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \mid \text{there exists } y \text{ such as } x R y\} \quad (\text{domain of } R)$$

$$\text{ran } R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{y \mid \text{there exists } x \text{ such as } x R y\} \quad (\text{range of } R)$$

$$\text{field } R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{dom } R \cup \text{ran } R \quad (\text{field of } R)$$

If $\text{field } R \subseteq X$ then R is a relation in X .

Relations

Question

Let R be a binary relation. Are $\text{dom } R$ and $\text{ran } R$ sets?

Relations

Question

Let R be a binary relation. Are $\text{dom } R$ and $\text{ran } R$ sets?

Answer

Definition of $\text{dom } R$ and $\text{ran } R$ without using the textbook conventions.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{dom } R &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ x \in \bigcup \bigcup R \mid \text{there exists } y \text{ such as } x R y \right\}, \\ \text{ran } R &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ y \in \bigcup \bigcup R \mid \text{there exists } x \text{ such as } x R y \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

That is, $\text{dom } R$ and $\text{ran } R$ are sets defined from the Axiom Scheme of Comprehension.

Relations

Convention (p. 21)

When defining sets of ordered pairs we shall use the following convention:

$$\{ (x, y) \mid \mathbf{P}(x, y) \} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ w \mid w \doteq (x, y) \text{ for some } x \text{ and } y \text{ such that } \mathbf{P}(x, y) \}.$$

Relations

Definition [2.]2.11

Let A be a set.

- The **membership relation** on A , denoted by \in_A is defined by

$$\in_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ (a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in A \text{ and } a \in b \}.$$

- The **identity relation** on A , denoted by Id_A , is defined by

$$\text{Id}_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ (a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in A \text{ and } a \doteq b \}.$$

Relations

Definition [2.]2.12

Let A and B be sets. The **Cartesian product** of A and B , denoted by $A \times B$, is defined by

$$A \times B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ (a, b) \mid a \in A \text{ and } b \in B \}.$$

Relations

Question

Let A and B be sets. Is $A \times B$ a set?

Relations

Question

Let A and B be sets. Is $A \times B$ a set?

Answer

Definition of $A \times B$ without using the textbook conventions.

$$A \times B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ w \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}((A \cup B))) \mid w \doteq (a, b) \text{ for some } a \text{ and } b \text{ such that } \mathbf{P}(a, b) \},$$

where $\mathbf{P}(x, y)$: “ $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ ”.

That is, $A \times B$ is a set defined from the Axiom Scheme of Comprehension.

Relations

Notation

$$A^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \times A.$$

Relations

Notation

$$A^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \times A.$$

Remark

Note that a binary relation R is in A if and only if $R \subseteq A^2$.

Relations

Definition (p. 22)

Let A , B and C be sets. The **Cartesian product** of A , B and C , denoted by $A \times B \times C$, is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A \times B \times C &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (A \times B) \times C \\ &\doteq \{ (a, b, c) \mid a \in A, b \in B \text{ and } c \in C \}. \end{aligned}$$

Relations

Definition (p. 22)

Let A , B and C be sets. The **Cartesian product** of A , B and C , denoted by $A \times B \times C$, is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A \times B \times C &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (A \times B) \times C \\ &\doteq \{ (a, b, c) \mid a \in A, b \in B \text{ and } c \in C \}. \end{aligned}$$

Notation

$$A^3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \times A \times A.$$

Relations

Definition [2.]2.13

A set R is a **ternary relation** if and only if all elements of R are ordered triples.

Relations

Definition [2.]2.13

A set R is a **ternary relation** if and only if all elements of R are ordered triples.

A set R is a **ternary relation in** A if and only if $R \subseteq A^3$.

Relations

Definition [2.]2.13

A set R is a **ternary relation** if and only if all elements of R are ordered triples.[†]

A set R is a **ternary relation in** A if and only if $R \subseteq A^3$.

[†]See the corrections to the textbook.

Relations

Definition (p. 22)

Let A be a set. A **unary relation in A** is any subset of A .

Outline

- Preliminaries
- Introduction
- Ordered Pairs
- Relations
- **Functions**
- References

Functions

Definition [2.]3.1

A binary relation F is a **function** (**mapping** or **correspondence**) if and only if

Functions

Definition [2.]3.1

A binary relation F is a **function** (**mapping** or **correspondence**) if and only if

(i) (a definition without using the domain of the binary relation)

$a F b_1$ and $a F b_2$ imply $b_1 = b_2$ for any a , b_1 and b_2 .

Functions

Definition [2.]3.1

A binary relation F is a **function** (**mapping** or **correspondence**) if and only if

(i) (a definition without using the domain of the binary relation)

$a F b_1$ and $a F b_2$ imply $b_1 = b_2$ for any a , b_1 and b_2 .

(ii) (a definition using the domain of the binary relation)

for each a in $\text{dom } F$ there is exactly one b such that $a F b$.

Functions

Definition [2.]3.1

A binary relation F is a **function** (**mapping** or **correspondence**) if and only if

(i) (a definition without using the domain of the binary relation)

$a F b_1$ and $a F b_2$ imply $b_1 = b_2$ for any a , b_1 and b_2 .

(ii) (a definition using the domain of the binary relation)

for each a in $\text{dom } F$ there is exactly one b such that $a F b$.

Example

Whiteboard.

Functions

Notation (p. 24)

If F is a function with $\text{dom } F = A$ and $\text{ran } F \subseteq B$ we shall write $F : A \rightarrow B$, $\langle F(a) \mid a \in A \rangle$ or $\langle F_a \rangle_{a \in A}$.

Functions

Discussion

Quote from the textbook:

“Function, as understood in mathematics, is a procedure, a rule, assigning to any object a from the domain of the function a unique object b , the value of the function at a .” (p. 28)

Is there any (implicit or explicit) procedure, rule or formula in our definition of function?

Functions

Remark

The current definition of a (one-value) function (of a real variable) is from Dirichlet who in 1837 wrote:

*“If a variable y is so related to a variable x that whenever a numerical value is assigned to x , there is a **rule** according to which a unique value of y is determined, then y is said to be a **function** of the independent variable x .”*
(Merzcbach and Boyer [1968] 2011, p. 452).

Functions

Remark

The current definition of a function on arbitrary sets is from Cantor 1895:

*“By a **covering of the aggregate N with elements of the aggregate, M** or, more simply, by a **covering of N with M** , we understand a **law** by which with every element n of N a definite element of M is bound up, where one and the same element of M can come repeatedly into application. The element of M bound up with n is, in a way, a one-valued function of n , and may be denoted by $f(n)$; it is called a **covering function of n** . The corresponding covering of N will be called $f(N)$.” (Cantor [1895] 1915, p. 94)*

Functions

Lemma [2.]3.2 (function extensionality)

Let F and G be functions. $F = G$ if and only if $\text{dom } F = \text{dom } G$ and $F(x) = G(x)$, for all $x \in \text{dom } F$.

Functions

Definitions [2.]3.3

Let F be a function and A and B sets.

- (i) F is a function **on (from)** A if and only if $\text{dom } F = A$.
- (ii) F is a function **into (to)** B if and only if $\text{ran } F \subseteq B$.
- (iii) F is a function **onto** B if and only if $\text{ran } F = B$.
- (iv) The **restriction** of F to A , denoted by $F \upharpoonright A$, is the function defined by

$$F \upharpoonright A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ (x, y) \in F \mid x \in A \}.$$

Functions

Definition [2.]3.7

A function F is **one-to-one** (or **injective**) if and only if for each $y \in \text{ran } F$ there is only one x such that $x F y$. In other words, if $x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom } F$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$ implies $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$.

Functions

Definition [2.]3.7

A function F is **one-to-one** (or **injective**) if and only if for each $y \in \text{ran } F$ there is only one x such that $x F y$. In other words, if $x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom } F$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$ implies $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$.

Example

Whiteboard.

Functions

Definition [2.]3.7

A function F is **one-to-one** (or **injective**) if and only if for each $y \in \text{ran } F$ there is only one x such that $x F y$. In other words, if $x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom } F$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$ implies $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$.

Example

Whiteboard.

Definition

A function F is an **one-to-one correspondence** between A and B if and only if F is an one-to-one function from A onto B .

Functions

Definition [2.]3.7

A function F is **one-to-one** (or **injective**) if and only if for each $y \in \text{ran } F$ there is only one x such that $x F y$. In other words, if $x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom } F$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$ implies $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$.

Example

Whiteboard.

Definition

A function F is an **one-to-one correspondence** between A and B if and only if F is an one-to-one function from A onto B .

Example

Whiteboard.

Functions

Definition

Let A and B be sets. We define the **set of functions** from A into B , denoted B^A .

$$B^A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ F \mid F : A \rightarrow B \}.$$

Functions

Definition

Let A and B be sets. We define the **set of functions** from A into B , denoted B^A .

$$B^A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ F \mid F : A \rightarrow B \}.$$

Example

- $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$: The set of infinity binary sequences.

Functions

Definition

Let A and B be sets. We define the **set of functions** from A into B , denoted B^A .

$$B^A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ F \mid F : A \rightarrow B \}.$$

Example

- $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$: The set of infinity binary sequences.
- $\emptyset^A = \emptyset$ for $A \neq \emptyset$ (no function can have a non-empty domain and an empty range).

Functions

Definition

Let A and B be sets. We define the **set of functions** from A into B , denoted B^A .

$$B^A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ F \mid F : A \rightarrow B \}.$$

Example

- $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$: The set of infinity binary sequences.
- $\emptyset^A = \emptyset$ for $A \neq \emptyset$ (no function can have a non-empty domain and an empty range).
- $A^\emptyset = \{\emptyset\}$ for any set A (\emptyset is the only function with an empty domain).

Functions

Question

Let A and B be sets. Is B^A a set?

Functions

Question

Let A and B be sets. Is B^A a set?

Answer

Definition of B^A without using the textbook conventions.

$$B^A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{F \in \mathcal{P}(A \times B) \mid F : A \rightarrow B\}.$$

That is, B^A is a set defined from the Axiom Scheme of Comprehension.

Outline

- Preliminaries
- Introduction
- Ordered Pairs
- Relations
- Functions
- **References**

References

- Georg Cantor (1895). Beiträge zur Begründung der transfiniten Mengenlehre. *Mathematische Annalen* 46.4, pp. 481–512. DOI: [10.1007/BF02124929](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02124929) (cit. on p. 60).
- [1895] (1915). *Contributions to the Founding of the Theory of Transfinite Numbers*. Translation of Cantor (1895). Translated, and provided with an introduction and notes, by Philip E. B. Jourdain. Dover Publications (cit. on p. 46).
- Karel Hrbacek and Thomas Jech [1978] (1999). *Introduction to Set Theory*. Third Edition, Revised and Expanded. Marcel Dekker (cit. on p. 3).
- Casimir Kuratowski (1921). Sur la notion de l'ordre dans la Théorie des Ensembles. *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 2, pp. 161–171. DOI: [10.4064/fm-2-1-161-171](https://doi.org/10.4064/fm-2-1-161-171) (cit. on pp. 7, 8).
- Uta C. Merzcbach and Carl B. Boyer [1968] (2011). *A History of Mathematics*. 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons (cit. on p. 45).