# Category Theory and Functional Programming Appendix

Andrés Sicard-Ramírez

Universidad EAFIT

Semester 2022-2

## Preliminaries

Convention

The number assigned to chapters, examples, exercises, figures, pages, sections, and theorems on these slides correspond to the numbers assigned in the textbook [Abramsky and Tzevelekos 2011].

## Outline

### Monoids

Groups

Algebraic Structures

Pre-orders

Partial Orders

**Relational Structures** 

**Topological Spaces** 

Category Theory

References

# Monoids

## Monoids

#### Definition

Let M be a set and let  $(-) \cdot (-)$  be a binary relation on M and  $1 \in M$ . The structure  $(M, \cdot, 1)$  is a **monoid** iff it satisfies

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z ((x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z))$$
 (associativity)  
$$\forall x (x \cdot 1 = x = 1 \cdot x)$$
 (identity)

## Monoids

### Example

The structure  $(\mathbb{N}, +, 0)$  is a monoid.

## Free Monoid

Definition

Let  $\Sigma$  be an alphabet (a set), let  $\Sigma^*$  be the set of strings over  $\Sigma$  including the empty string  $\varepsilon$ , and let  $(-) \cdot (-)$  be the concatenation of strings. Then  $(\Sigma^*, \cdot, \varepsilon)$  is the **free monoid** on the set  $\Sigma$ .

## Monoid Homomorphisms

Definition

A **homomorphism** between monoids is a map between the domains of the monoids that preserves the monoid operation and the identity element.

## Monoid Homomorphisms

#### Definition

A **homomorphism** between monoids is a map between the domains of the monoids that preserves the monoid operation and the identity element.

Let  $(M, \cdot, 1_M)$  and  $(N, *, 1_N)$  be two monoids. A homomorphism from  $(M, \cdot, 1_M)$  to  $(N, *, 1_N)$  is a function  $h: M \to N$  such that for all x, y in M:

$$h(x \cdot y) = h x * h y,$$
  
$$h(1_M) = 1_N.$$

#### Definition

Let G be a set,  $(-) \cdot (-)$  be a binary relation on G and  $1 \in G$ . The structure  $(G, \cdot, 1)$  is a **group** iff it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \forall y \forall z ((x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)) & \text{(associativity)} \\ \forall x (x \cdot 1 = x = 1 \cdot x) & \text{(identity)} \\ \forall x \exists x' (x \cdot x' = 1 = x' \cdot x) & \text{(inverse)} \end{aligned}$$

### Example

The structure  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, 0)$  is a group.

### Example

The structure  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, 0)$  is a group.

#### Example

The monoid  $(\Sigma^*,\cdot,\varepsilon)$  is not a group.

## **Direct Product**

Definition

Let  $(G, *, 1_G)$  and  $(H, \diamond, 1_H)$  be two groups. The **direct product** of G and H is the group  $(G \times H, \cdot, (1_G, 1_H))$  where

 $(-) \cdot (-) : G \times H \to G \times H$  $(g_1, h_1) \cdot (g_2, h_2) := (g_1 * g_2, h_1 \diamond h_2).$ 

## **Direct Product**

Definition

Let  $(G, *, 1_G)$  and  $(H, \diamond, 1_H)$  be two groups. The **direct product** of G and H is the group  $(G \times H, \cdot, (1_G, 1_H))$  where

$$(-) \cdot (-) : G \times H \to G \times H$$
$$(g_1, h_1) \cdot (g_2, h_2) := (g_1 * g_2, h_1 \diamond h_2).$$

#### Exercise 1

Show that the direct product of two groups is a group.

#### Definition

An algebraic structure on a set  $A \neq \emptyset$  is essentially a collection of *n*-ary operations on A [Birkhoff 1946, 1987].

Description

A homomorphism is a structure-preserving map between two algebraic structures.

#### Definition

A homomorphism  $\varphi$  between two algebraic structures is [Cohn 1981]:

- a monomorphism if  $\varphi$  is an injection,
- an **epimorphism** if  $\varphi$  is a surjection,
- $\blacktriangleright$  an **endomorphism** if  $\varphi$  is from an algebraic structure to itself,
- an **isomorphism** if  $\varphi$  is a bijection,
- > an **automorphism** if  $\varphi$  is a bijective endomorphism.

Definition

Let P be a set and let  $\leq$  be a binary relation on P. The relation  $\leq$  is a **pre-order** (or **quasi-order**) iff it satisfies

 $\begin{array}{ll} \forall x (x \leq x) & (\text{reflexivity}) \\ \forall x \forall y \forall z (x \leq y \leq z \Rightarrow x \leq z) & (\text{transitivity}) \end{array}$ 

The pair  $(P, \preceq)$  is a **pre-ordered set** (or **quasi-ordered set**).

#### Example

The pair  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is a pre-ordered set.

### Example

The pair  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is a pre-ordered set.

#### Example

The pair  $(\{*\}, \{(*, *)\})$  is a pre-ordered set.

## Example

The pair  $(\mathbb{N},\leq)$  is a pre-ordered set.

## Example

The pair  $(\{*\},\{(*,*)\})$  is a pre-ordered set.

## Question

Is the pair  $(\emptyset, \emptyset)$  a pre-ordered set?

## Example

```
The pair (\mathbb{N},\leq) is a pre-ordered set.
```

### Example

The pair  $(\{*\},\{(*,*)\})$  is a pre-ordered set.

## Question

```
Is the pair (\emptyset, \emptyset) a pre-ordered set?
```

Answer: Yes!

Definition

Let  $(S, \preceq_S)$  and  $(T, \preceq_T)$  be two pre-ordered sets. A **homomorphism** from  $(S, \preceq_S)$  to  $(T, \preceq_T)$  is a function  $h: S \to T$  such that, for all  $x, y \in S$ ,

 $x \preceq_S y$  implies  $h x \preceq_T h y$ .

Definition

Let  $(S, \preceq_S)$  and  $(T, \preceq_T)$  be two pre-ordered sets. A **homomorphism** from  $(S, \preceq_S)$  to  $(T, \preceq_T)$  is a function  $h: S \to T$  such that, for all  $x, y \in S$ ,

 $x \preceq_S y$  implies  $h x \preceq_T h y$ .

That is, a homomorphism from  $(S, \leq_S)$  to  $(T, \leq_T)$  is a monotone map  $h: S \to T$ .

#### Definition

Let P be a set and let  $\preceq$  be a binary relation on P. The relation  $\preceq$  is a **partial order** iff it satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ll} \forall x(x \leq x) & (\text{reflexivity}) \\ \forall x \forall y(x \leq y \leq x \rightarrow x = y) & (\text{anti-symmetry}) \\ \forall x \forall y \forall z(x \leq y \leq z \rightarrow x \leq z) & (\text{transitivity}) \end{array}$$

The pair  $(P, \preceq)$  is a **partially ordered set** (or **poset**).

#### Example

The pre-ordered sets  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ ,  $(\emptyset, \emptyset)$  and  $(\{*\}, \{(*, *)\})$  are posets.

#### Question

Are pre-ordered sets which are not posets?

#### Question

Are pre-ordered sets which are not posets?

Answer: Yes! The figure shows an example.



Definition

Let  $(S, \preceq_S)$  and  $(T, \preceq_T)$  be two posets. A **homomorphism** from  $(S, \preceq_S)$  to  $(T, \preceq_T)$  is a function  $h: S \to T$  such that, for all  $x, y \in S$ ,

 $x \preceq_S y$  implies  $h x \preceq_T h y$ .

Definition

Let  $(S, \preceq_S)$  and  $(T, \preceq_T)$  be two posets. An order isomorphism from  $(S, \preceq_S)$  to  $(T, \preceq_T)$  is a one-one correspondence  $h: S \to T$  such that, for all  $x, y \in S$ ,

 $x \preceq_S y$  iff  $h x \preceq_T h y$ .

Definition

Let  $(S, \preceq_S)$  and  $(T, \preceq_T)$  be two posets. The **product of posets** S and T is the poset  $(S \times T, \preceq)$  where the **product order**  $\preceq$  is defined by:

For all  $x_1, x_2 \in S$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in T$ ,

$$(x_1, y_1) \preceq (x_2, y_2)$$
 iff  $x_1 \preceq_S x_2$  and  $y_1 \preceq_T y_2$ .

# **Relational Structures**

## **Relational Structures**

Definition

Let L be a signature of a relational structure consisting of function and relation symbols, and let A and B be two L-structures. A **homomorphism** from A to B is a mapping h from the domain of A to the domain of B such that<sup>†</sup>

(i) for each n-ary function symbol F in L,

$$h(F^A x_1 \dots x_n) = F^B (h x_1) \dots (h x_n),$$

(ii) for each n-ary relation symbol R in L,

$$R^A(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$
 implies  $R^B(h\,x_1,\ldots,h\,x_n)$ .

<sup>†</sup>From https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Homomorphism.

**Relational Structures** 

Definition

A **topology** on a set X is a collection  $\tau$  of subsets of X such that

(i)  $\emptyset$  and X are belong to  $\tau$ ,

(ii) the union of (finite or infinite) members of  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau,$ 

(iii) the intersection of finite members of  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau$ .

The pair  $(X, \tau)$  is a **topological space**.

Example

Let  $\tau$  be the set of all open intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . The pair  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  is a topological space.

#### Example

Let  $\tau$  be the set of all open intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . The pair  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  is a topological space.

#### Example

Let  $\mathcal{P}S$  be the power set of the set S. The pair  $(S, \mathcal{P}S)$  is a topological space.

#### Example

The pair  $(\emptyset, \{\emptyset\})$  is a topological space.

#### Example

The pair  $(\emptyset, \{\emptyset\})$  is a topological space.

#### Example

The pair  $(\{*\}, \{\emptyset, \{*\}\})$  is a topological space.

Definition

Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  and  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  be topological spaces. A function  $f : X \to Y$  is **continuous** iff for all  $V \in \tau_Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau_X$ .

Definition

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be topological space. A **base** (or **basis**) for  $\tau$  is a collection  $B \subset \tau$  such that every open set is a union of elements of B.

Definition

Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  and  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  be topological spaces. The **product topological space** of X and Y is the topological space  $(X \times Y, \tau_{X \times Y})$ , where  $\tau_{X \times Y}$  is the topology generated by the Cartesian product  $U_X \times U_Y \subset X \times Y$  of open sets  $U_x \subset X$  and  $U_Y \subseteq Y$ .

# Category Theory

# Axiomatic Category Theory

Remark

The following definition was adapted from [Mac Lane 1971].

Remark

The following definition was adapted from [Mac Lane 1971].

#### Definition

Axiomatic Category Theory is the following two-sorted first-order theory with equality:

The sorts of the theory are Obj() (objects), denoted by A, B, C, ..., and Ar() (arrows), denoted by f, g, h, ....

# Axiomatic Category Theory

## Definition (continuation)

▶ The undefined terms (language) of the theory are the *function* symbols<sup>†</sup>

 $\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{dom}: \langle \operatorname{Ar}(), \operatorname{Obj}() \rangle & (\textit{domain}), \\ \operatorname{cod}: \langle \operatorname{Ar}(), \operatorname{Obj}() \rangle & (\textit{codomain}), \\ \operatorname{id}: \langle \operatorname{Obj}(), \operatorname{Ar}() \rangle & (\textit{identity arrow}), \end{array}$ 

and the *relation* symbol

 $\operatorname{comp}: \langle \operatorname{Ar}(), \operatorname{Ar}(), \operatorname{Ar}() \rangle \qquad (\textit{arrow composition}).$ 

(continued on next slide)

50/55

<sup>†</sup>The notation  $\langle s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n \rangle$  denotes a sort in many-sorted logic. See, for example, [Enderton 2001]. Category Theory

# Axiomatic Category Theory

## Definition (continuation)

*Notation.* An arrow f with dom f = A and cod f = B is written  $f : A \to B$ .

*Notation.* The arrow id(A) is denoted  $id_A$ .

(continued on next slide)

## Definition (continuation)

Non-logical axioms

(i) For all arrows f and g, if  $f : A \to B$  and  $g : B \to C$  then there exists an unique arrow  $h : A \to C$ , such as comp(f, g, h).

*Notation.* If comp(f, g, h) then the arrow h is denoted  $g \circ f$ .

(ii) For all arrows f, g and h, if  $f : A \to B$ ,  $g : B \to C$  and  $h : C \to D$  then

 $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f.$ 

- (iii) For all object A,  $\operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{id}_A) = \operatorname{cod}(\operatorname{id}_A) = A$ .
- (iv) For all arrow f, if  $f: A \to B$  then

$$f \circ \mathrm{id}_A = f = \mathrm{id}_B \circ f.$$

Remark

In general, would be incorrect to define categories as *models* of the previous two-sorted theory because, because *set theory models* would not include *large* categories.

# References

## References

- Abramsky, S. and Tzevelekos, N. (2011). Introduction to Categories and Categorical Logic. In: New Structures for Physics. Ed. by Coecke, B. Vol. 813. Lecture Notes in Physics. Springer, pp. 3–94. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-12821-9\_1 (cit. on p. 2).
- Birkhoff, G. (1946). Universal Algebra. In: Comptes Rendus du Premier Congrès Canadien de Mathématiques. University of Toronto Press, pp. 310–326 (cit. on p. 17).
  - (1987). Universal Algebra. In: Selected Papers on Algebra and Topology by Garrett Birkhoff.
    Ed. by Rota, G.-C. and Oliveira, J. S. Birkhäuser, pp. 146–162 (cit. on p. 17).
  - Cohn, P. M. [1965] (1981). Universal Algebra. Revised edition. Vol. 6. Mathematics and Its Applications. D. Reidel Publishing Company (cit. on p. 19).



Mac Lane, S. (1971). Categorical Algebra and Set-Theoretic Foundations. In: Axiomatic Set Theory, Part I. Ed. by Scott, D. S. Vol. XIII. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, pp. 231–240 (cit. on pp. 48, 49).